

## **Superintendent of Financial Services**

**Regarding** the Insurance Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.1.8, as amended, particularly subsections 393(9) – 393(11)

**AND REGARDING** Bret E. Moore, life insurance agent

### **DECISION and ORDER**

#### **Introduction:**

A Notice of Opportunity for Hearing dated August 27, 2010 (the Notice) informed Mr. Moore of allegations against him and the opportunity for a hearing before an Advisory Board. The Notice advised Mr. Moore that if a hearing was not requested, the Superintendent would make a decision based on information in the possession of the Financial Services Commission of Ontario (the Commission). Mr. Moore was also advised that such decision could include suspension or revocation of his licence as a life insurance agent.

I have received an affidavit from Mr. Nemet, legal counsel at the Commission that the Notice was sent by registered mail. Canada Post returned the registered mail unclaimed to the Commission. Commission staff contacted the Ministry of Transportation and confirmed that the address in its records corresponded to the address in the Commission's records. While the Commission took this additional step to verify the address of Mr. Moore, the Insurance Act provides that service can be made by registered mail at the last known address of a person on file at the Commission. I am satisfied that the Notice was properly served in accordance with the provisions of the Insurance Act. No request for a hearing was received.

A copy of the allegations is attached to this Decision.

#### **The Evidence:**

Since Mr. Moore has not requested a hearing, the evidence of Commission staff in the particulars attached to the Notice is uncontroverted.

The evidence can be summarized as follows. The Commission received notification from Mr. Moore's insurance company that Mr. Moore's errors and omissions insurance policy was cancelled. The Commission made several attempts to contact him by email, mail, registered mail and by

telephone without success.

### Findings of Fact

I find the allegation that Mr. Moore has failed to maintain the required errors and omissions insurance to be established. The reasons for this finding are the notification of cancellation of the policy by Mr. Moore's insurance company and Mr. Moore's failure to respond to the several attempts by the Commission to contact him.

I find the allegation that Mr. Moore is not amenable to regulation as a life insurance agent to be established. The reasons for this finding are the notification of cancellation of the policy by Mr. Moore's insurance company and Mr. Moore's failure to respond to the several attempts by the Commission to contact him.

In the absence of testimony by Mr. Moore, I am not aware of any explanations for his behaviour or mitigating circumstances.

### Decision:

I have found that Mr. Moore has failed to maintain errors and omissions insurance and is not amenable to regulation as a life insurance agent.

Errors and omissions insurance is necessary to protect consumers from negligence by insurance agents. Insurance agents without errors and omissions insurance may not have sufficient assets to indemnify policy holders or applicants for insurance from such losses. Accordingly insurance agents that do not have errors and omissions insurance cannot be allowed to be engaged in the business of insurance.

In this case, Mr. Moore would not respond to the Commission on this matter. Insurance agents must be governable and amenable to being regulated. The Insurance Act imposes a duty on licensed persons to facilitate an examination. Responding to information requests is an attribute of a person suitable to be an insurance agent.

Since Mr. Moore has not requested a hearing, there are no explanations for his behaviour, nor is there any demonstrated interest in maintaining his licence as an insurance agent.

Accordingly considering the lack of suitability as demonstrated by the failure to facilitate an examination, the absence of the necessary insurance to protect the public and lack of any explanation for such behaviour, I believe that the appropriate penalty is revocation of Mr.

Moore's licence as an insurance agent.

**ORDER**

Accordingly, the life insurance agent licence of Bret. E. Moore is hereby revoked by this order.

Dated at Toronto, this twenty first day of March, 2011

Grant Swanson  
Executive Director, Licensing and Market Conduct  
by delegated Authority from  
Superintendent of Financial Services

