

Superintendent of Financial Services

REGARDING the Insurance Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.1.8, as amended, particularly subsections 393(9) – 393(11)

AND REGARDING James Richard Ackerman

DECISION

Introduction:

A Notice of Opportunity for Hearing dated October 29, 2009 (the Notice) informed Mr. Ackerman of allegations against him and the opportunity for a hearing before an Advisory Board. The Notice advised Mr. Ackerman that if a hearing was not requested, the Superintendent would make a decision based on information in the possession of the Financial Services Commission of Ontario (the Commission). Mr. Ackerman was also advised that such decision could include suspension or revocation of his licence as a life insurance agent.

I have received an affidavit from [REDACTED] legal counsel at the Commission that the Notice was served by registered mail. [REDACTED] memo further stated that Canada Post confirmed that the Notice was successfully delivered. I am satisfied that the Notice was properly served in accordance with the provisions of the Insurance Act.

A copy of the allegation is attached to this Decision.

The Evidence:

Since Mr. Ackerman has not requested a hearing, the evidence of Commission staff in the particulars attached to the Notice is uncontroverted.

The evidence can be summarized as follows. The Commission received notification from Mr. Ackerman's insurance company that Mr. Ackerman's errors and omissions insurance policy was cancelled. The Commission made several attempts to contact him by mail, registered mail and by telephone. In a telephone conversation on August 14, 2009, Mr. Ackerman indicated that he would be surrendering his licence shortly. Mr. Ackerman has not done so in spite of follow up efforts by Commission staff.

Findings of Fact

I find the allegation that Mr. Ackerman has failed to maintain errors and omissions insurance to be established. The reasons for this finding are the notification of cancellation of the policy by Mr. Ackerman's insurance company and Mr. Ackerman's failure to respond to the several attempts by the Commission to contact him.

In the absence of testimony by Mr. Ackerman, I am not aware of any explanations for his behaviour or mitigating circumstances.

Decision:

I have found that Mr. Ackerman has failed to maintain errors and omissions insurance as is required by Regulation 347/04.

Errors and omissions insurance is necessary to protect consumers from negligence by insurance agents. Insurance agents without errors and omissions insurance may not have sufficient assets to indemnify policy holders or applicants for insurance from such losses. Accordingly insurance agents that do not have errors and omissions insurance cannot be allowed to be engaged in the business of insurance.

In this case, Mr. Ackerman would not respond to the Commission on this matter. Insurance agents must be governable and amenable to being regulated. The Insurance Act imposes a duty on licensed persons to facilitate an examination. Responding to information requests is an attribute of a person suitable to be an insurance agent.

Since Mr. Ackerman has not requested a hearing, there are no explanations for his behaviour, nor is there any demonstrated interest in maintaining his licence as an insurance agent.

Accordingly considering the lack of suitability as demonstrated by the failure to facilitate an examination, the absence of the necessary insurance to protect the public and lack of any explanation for such behaviour, I believe that the appropriate penalty is revocation of Mr. Ackerman's licence as an insurance agent.

Accordingly, I hereby revoke the life insurance agent licence of Mr. James Ackerman.

Dated at Toronto, this 13th day of December, 2009



Executive Director, Licensing and Market Conduct
by delegated Authority from
Superintendent of Financial Services

Schedule 1

The following allegation was set out in the Notice:

- a. Mr. Ackerman has failed to maintain appropriate errors and omissions insurance, as is required by Section 13 of regulation 347/04.